Total hip replacement in osteoarthritis: the role of bone metabolism and its complications
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Summary

Osteoarthritis is one of the most common joint disorder. For treatment of hip symptomatic osteoarthritis, when conservative medical therapy has failed, total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a successful orthopaedic procedures that reduces pain and improves function and quality of life.

Incidence of osteoarthritis is constantly increasing with raising life expectancy. This aging process also has led to an increasing number of patients with osteoporosis who need hip replacement for osteoarthritis.

Osteoporosis have 3 major potential complications in total hip arthroplasty: perioperative fracture, an increased risk of periprosthetic fracture, and late aseptic loosening.

The purpose of the present study was to examine the effects of osteoporosis on total hip replacement procedure outcome and highlight the importance of adequate study of calcium-phosphorus metabolism in patient candidate for hip surgery, and the need to start a suitable therapy to recover the bone mass before surgery. Bone quality of the hip joint has become an important risk factor limiting the durability of THA.
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Introduction

Against a general assumption, osteoarthritis (OA) does not seem to protect from generalized primary osteoporosis. The majority (74%) of the female hip OA patients were osteopenic or osteoporotic with signs of increased bone turnover. The observed prevalence of reduced BMD corresponds with the published data of age-matched population. Osteoporosis and osteoarthritis are not mutually exclusive conditions, and many patients undergoing hip replacement also have unrecognized osteoporosis or deficiency of vitamin D.

Osteoporosis have 3 major potential complications in total hip arthroplasty (THA): perioperative fracture, an increased risk of periprosthetic fracture, and a risk of late aseptic loosening due to mechanical failure of ingrown trabecular bone.

Osteoarthritis is one of the most common joint disorder. The number of people affected with symptomatic OA is likely to increase due primarily to the aging of the population. OA has a multi-factorial etiology and it’s due to local and systemic factors. THA is a successful orthopaedic procedure for treatment of hip symptomatic OA when conservative medical therapy has failed that reduces pain and improves function and quality of life.

With increasing life expectancy the prevalence of osteoarthritis is substantially rising and hand in hand is also increasing patients that undergo surgical hip replacement.
Osteoporosis can affect people of every age, mostly in adults and old people. It can be divided in primary and secondary, that depending to many cases, iatrogenic too. The primitive osteoporosis is divided in 2 types: type 1 is postmenopausal, while type 2 is senile osteoporosis. The secondary osteoporosis is a consequence of other pathological conditions, as for example: hypogonadism and other endocrine or metabolic disorders (Cushing’s syndrome, hyperparathyroidism, thyrotoxicosis, diabetes, ...), neoplastic diseases and connected therapies, connective tissue diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis), chronic diseases (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, chronic kidney disease), gastroenteric diseases (Crohn’s disease, celiac disease,...), nutritional problems, alcoholism, prolonged inactivity and chronic use of medicines (glucocorticoids, thyroid hormones, immunosuppressant, anticonvulsant) (8, 9).

Elderly patients have often many comorbidities and multiple general and local problems could influence the outcome of prosthetic surgery procedures. Changes in osteoporotic bone tissue lead to increased fragility and ensuing insufficient primary stability. This bone fragility implies a greater risk of iatrogenic intraoperative fractures. The long-term success of a prosthetic surgery is also the result of delicate interactions between bone and prosthesis material. Osteoporosis and abnormal bone metabolism can predispose to complications as aseptic loosening (3, 10).

The major complication in placing the femoral stem into osteoporotic bone is the periprosthetic fracture, that is associated with a high rate of postoperative complications and often a poor clinical result. The intraoperative fracture rate is approximately 4% after hip replacement (11). Variability in the reported prevalence between 0.3% and 7.8% may reflect differences in sample size or use of different femoral stems and insertion techniques (12). Risk factors for fracture have been well categorized and include: age, female sex, un cemented implants, revision surgery, and other conditions with weakens bone such as rheumatoid arthritis, Paget's disease, and polynuropathies (12, 13). Insertion fractures most commonly occur in the calcar region of the hip. Osteoporotic bones are very breakable and much more susceptible to intraoperative fracture than normal bone. If the bone fragility isn’t recognized at the time of stem placement, it can cause propagation of the fracture, with sharp cut fracture or stem loosening. When a periprosthetic fracture is recognize during surgery, the stem should be removed and cables should be placed around the femur shaft. Meanwhile, if the fracture propagates, plate fixation may be necessary, with a revision implant to give adequate stability. Intraoperative radiographs should be performed to ensure that the stem appears stable and that the synthesis is reliable. Prevention of these fractures is the best target, and a careful preoperative patient assessment must be performed (14).

There are no absolute age limit or weight restriction for total hip replacement. Recommendations for surgery are based on a patient’s disability and pain, not only age. Total hip replacements have been performed successfully at all ages, from the young teenager with juvenile arthritis to the elderly patient with degenerative arthritis. But higher age implies a worse functional outcome, major technical difficulties and higher operative risks. Before surgery, several factors should be evaluated: symptoms, comorbidities, physical examination, X-rays.
Discussion

Therefore, for a good success of the prosthesis, it’s essential, in addition to a good pre-surgery planning, the choice of the implant and its right surgical technique. Investigations into risk factors influencing success of total hip arthroplasty have focused primarily on the type of prosthesis and surgical technique (15). It’s also important proper focus on the patient, trying to delete all that elements that can bad influence the correct integration between bone and prosthesis, first of all the low quality of the bone (16).

Normally, during the preparation phase of hip prosthetic surgery, the patient has to undergo blood tests (complete blood count, liver and kidney function, electrolytes, coagulation profile), ECG, chest X-ray, anesthesiologist visit and additional controls about the underlying disorders. Generally, it’s not necessary to study the calcium and phosphorus metabolism. But for the importance of bone quality in implant survival, this study should be done to all women aged more 65 candidate to a prosthesis procedure, and people less than 65 but with risk factors of bone fragility. These factors are represented by: female gender, chronic drug use (e.g. glucocorticoids), previous fragility fractures, prolonged bed rest, primary and secondary amenorrhea, hypogonadism, alcohol abuse, low calcium intake, familiarity, low BMI (17).

Also the radiographic patterns may help to identify patients with low bone quality. Standard radiographs are not a test for osteoporosis and have been considered less helpful in the assessment of bone mineral density because only at least a loss of 30-50% of bone mass can be detected on plain film. Cortical Thickness Index is the ratio between the endosteal and outer diameters of the proximal femur at 10 cm below the lesser trochanter (Figure 3). It showed a strong correlation with the T score (r = -0.71, P<0.001) and the best overall performance in diagnosing osteoporosis (18). Finding a hip radiography with Cortical Thickness Index value ≤ 0.40 should be a caution to recommend referral for osteoporosis evaluation and bone mineral density testing (19, 20).

In case of the secondary osteoporosis suspect, it’s essential to make specific exams to do a diagnosis, like: bone densitometry DXA and specific blood sample evaluation. With Ca-P metabolism we can do a differential diagnosis with other diseases that can create a clinic or densitometric profile similar to osteoporosis.

In patients that surely have severe osteoporosis, it’s essential to postpone the surgery up to the recovery of the bone mass. Anyway, it’s necessary to start a suitable integrative therapy and keep attention during the surgery (intraoperative maneuver, cemented implants). It’s strictly important to distinguish patients with secondary osteoporosis in which the therapy of the primary cause lead to a great improvement of the osteoporosis state.

Bisphosphonates (BF) are the principal pharmaceutical agents for managing osteoporosis by the inhibition of osteoclasts resorption (21). Studies show that, in osteoporotic condition, bisphosphonates can enhance periprostheses bone mass and the effects on osseointegration between host bone and prosthesis (22). Moreover, some studies have suggested that bisphosphonates may prevent or may be used to treat aseptic loosening (23), or to prevent the decrease in proximal femoral BMD usually seen after hip replacement (24, 25). Patients with THA and risk factors for loosening should be screened for osteoporosis and have regular radiological surveillance (3), especially if treated with BF for monitoring of any atypical periprostheses fractures, in particular in areas neighboring the end of the prosthesis stem (26, 27).

Bone quality of the hip joint has become an important risk factor limiting the durability of THA (28).

Conclusion

In patients with an increased suspect (e.g. low femoral cortical index, previous fragility fractures, decreased T-score, ...) it’s essential, as well as an evaluation of the osteoarthritic conditions, a correct study of calcium-phosphorus metabolism, to understand if we’re facing a type 1 or 2 osteoporosis, if it’s needed a therapy to increase the integration of the bone or, in case of secondary osteoporosis, if it’s necessary to treat the primary cause of the increasing bone resorption before going on with the surgery (29). It’s very important to recognize the presence of osteoporosis as it’s crucial for the final result. Our suggestion is to always do a densitometric scanning and an hematocellular evaluation for osteoporosis for all the patients who are candidate to prosthesis.
surgery aged more than 65 or people who have risk factors for bone fragility. The use of bisphosphonates has been effective to reduce periprosthetic bone loss and to improve implant osseointegration, but to guarantee the efficacy of this therapy it’s essential to always exclude secondary causes of osteoporosis. It’s also necessary to monitor the patient, both clinically and radiographically and with blood tests, especially in cases of BF therapies lasted for long periods.
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